

## UNITED STARES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/835,105 04/04/97 SCHULZE E 2937-9 LM01/0328 **EXAMINER** DAVID F. ZINGER HAYES, G 1700 LINCOLN STREET SUITE 3500 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER DENVER CO 80203 2766 DATE MAILED: 03/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

08/835,015

Applicant(s)

SCHULZE, JR.

**Advisory Action** Examiner

**Gail Hayes** 

Group Art Unit 2766



TH	E PER	IOD FOR RES	PONSE	: [check only	a) or b)]					
	a) 💢	expires	<u>3</u> _ m	onths from the	mailing date	of the final rej	ection.			
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicheve is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.									y Action, whichever ne date of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									
	Appel period	lant's Brief is I for response	due tw set for	o months fro th above, wh	m the date o ichever is la	of the Notice iter). See 37	of Appea 7 CFR 1.19	l filed on 91(d) and 37	CFR 1.192(a)	or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>13 Mar 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:										
X The proposed amendment(s):										
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.									
X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).										w).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).										,
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.									
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.										laims.
NOTE: The major amendments to independent claims 1 and 22 significantly change the scope of the claims.										of the claims.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):										
	Newl separ	y proposed o rate, timely fi	r ameno led ame	ded claims ndment canc	elling the no	n-allowable	claims.	would	be allowable	if submitted in a
X	for al	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The srguments are directed to the new claim language which has not been entered.								
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For p	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
	Claims allowed: None									
	Claims objected to: None									
	Claim	Claims rejected: 1-7, 9-22, and 24-28								
	The p	proposed drav	wing co	rrection filed	on		has	has not be	een approved	by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached	Informa	ation Disclosu	ire Statemei	nt(s), PTO-1	449, Papei	No(s)	·	_
	Othe	r								Loul Huys
							•		·	GAII HAYES

PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 2766**